

Articl



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

The Evaluation of the Sustainable Development Capacity of Bay Cities in China: Under the Background of Blue Bay Remediation Action

Jian Feng¹, Lingjin Kong¹, Haoyang Li², Nicola Cannon², Xianmin Chang² and Longbo Ma^{1,*}

- ¹ School of Economics and Management, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao 266109, China; <u>fengjian@qau.edu.cn</u> (J.F.); <u>20242153033@stu.qau.edu.cn</u> (L.K.); <u>201301074@qau.edu.cn</u> (L.M.)
- ² School of Agricultural Science and Practice, Royal Agricultural University, Cirencester, Gloucestershire GL7 6JS, United Kingdom; <u>Nicola.Cannon@rau.ac.uk</u> (N.C.); <u>Xianmin.Chang@rau.ac.uk</u> (X.C.); <u>Haoyang.Li@stu-dent.rau.ac.uk</u> (H.L.)
- * Correspondence: <u>201301074@qau.edu.cn</u>

Abstract: In response to the urgent need for sustainable development of the marine envi-12 ronment, the Chinese government initiated the Blue Bay Remediation Action (BBRA) in 13 2016, piloting efforts in 16 bay cities. Recognizing that these areas function as integrated 14 ecosystems, it is clear that solely addressing issues within the bays will not completely 15 resolve the ecological challenges. Guided by the principles of comprehensive treatment 16 and sustainable development inherent in the BBRA policy, this paper incorporates eco-17 logical indicators of bays and the surrounding sea into a sustainable development frame-18 work for 52 bay cities. To identify a balanced approach for the development of the econ-19 omy, ecology and society, a three-component evaluation system with 39 indicators is es-20 tablished to assess the sustainable development levels of bay cities from 2015 to 2019 in 21 China. According to the result of the principal component and coupling coordination de-22 gree analysis, it indicates that after BBRA, the change of sustainable development levels 23 of the pilot bay cities is not obvious. Significant disparities exist in the levels of sustainable 24 development among the majority of pilot cities, with imbalances observed across eco-25 nomic, ecological, and social dimensions. Consequently, in researching the balanced sus-26 tainable development of bay cities, it is essential to consider the unique development char-27 acteristics of each city during the implementation process of the BBRA. 28

Keywords: Blue Bay Regulation Action; bay cities; marine sustainable development; Prin-29cipal Component Analysis; Coupling Coordination Degree Method30

31

32

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of United Nations Educational, 33 Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) launched the United Nations Decade of 34 Ocean Science for Sustainable Development in 2021 to enhance global and sustainable 35 ocean governance [1-3]. Maritime environmental and economic issues have become in-36 creasingly important since 2010 given the intersection of ocean and land environments, 37 the sustainable development of coastal cities has garnered considerable interest from re-38 searchers [4-7]. Bay cities play a vital role in fostering innovation and economic clustering 39 due to their open economic structure, efficient resource allocation capabilities, significant 40agglomeration and advanced functions. These cities serve as critical growth hubs that 41

drive global socioeconomic development and lead advancements in technology. For in-42 stance, San Francisco has experienced remarkable growth due to industrial development 43 along the coast, alongside the appeal of Silicon Valley, which has attracted an increasing 44 population and resource allocation [8-10]. New York serves as a prominent hub for fi-45 nance, international trade, media, fashion, education, and manufacturing. Its develop-46 ment is supported by a network of cities, each with its distinct characteristics: New York 47 is recognized as the financial and trading center; Washington, D.C. acts as the political 48 center; Philadelphia is known for its industrial and transportation significance; and Bos-49 ton is identified as the center for technology and education [11]. Additionally, the geo-50 graphic proximity of the New York Bay area to numerous universities has greatly contrib-51 uted to its development [11]. Tokyo, on the other hand, was established with a growth 52 strategy centered on manufacturing, shaped by government policies and regulations. The 53 technological upgrades within its industrial economy have allowed the Tokyo Bay Area 54 to implement a robust development strategy focused on advanced manufacturing and a 55 knowledge-intensive economy, particularly emphasizing high-end services in infor-56 mation and communication technology [12]. However, despite the rapid economic devel-57 opment of these bay cities, the lack of coordination of social and ecological development 58 poses significant risks to their sustainability [10]. The swift progress, often pursued with-59 out adequate consideration of environmental implications, has negatively impacted envi-60 ronmental quality and ecological balance [13]. Furthermore, the neglect of pressing social 61 issues, such as high living costs, traffic congestion, and public health concerns, has de-62 terred sustainable development of these bay cities [10, 14]. 63

In China, bay cities have developed and experienced a sharp economic expansion 64 due to their inherently advantaged position and the natural resources supplied by oceans 65 [4, 5]. However, because of the intense human activities and the sea-land combinative ge-66 ographic features, the ecosystem of sea, oceans and bay cities are more vulnerable to suf-67 fering from severe damage such as the decrease of mud flats and natural shoreline, marine 68 pollution and biodiversity loss [4]. Bay cities are different from the normal inland cities as 69 they are often the hub of marine transport and the location of ocean industries, tourist 70 resorts and home of marine organism [6]. They are strategically positioned in the national 71 economic and social development, considering the established precedents related to the 72 development of the renowned bay cities mentioned above, it is of great importance to 73 prioritize sustainable development of bay cities [1, 6]. The non-point source pollution from 74 cities needs be controlled, and the ecosystem-based management should be promoted to 75 maintain ecosystem health and sustainable development in cities, bays and oceans [4, 15, 76 16]. It is key priority to carry out integrated marine and land development planning of 77 bay cities, and a comprehensive development evaluation an important initial starting 78 point [7, 16]. 79

In 2016, the Chinese Government introduced the Blue Bay Remediation Action 80 (BBRA) project as a response to the urgent requirements for sustainable development per-81 taining to the marine environment. The Ministry of Finance and the Oceanic Administra-82 tion of China determined that the central government would offer support for the reme-83 diation of blue bays in coastal cities, with the aim of enhancing the ecological functions of 84 coastlines, marine areas and islands, while also safeguarding marine ecological security 85 [17]. According to the unique characteristics of each bay, local governments have initiated 86 measures to manage land-based pollution, prevent offshore eutrophication, oversee ma-87 rine ecological challenges, and restore coastal wetlands. 88

Seas, bays, and cities are included in a complex but interconnected system, and solely implementing remediation actions on bays will not fully achieve sustainable development [18-20]. Firstly, the marine ecology, encompasses both seas and bays, serves as an important asset for bay cities by providing essential areas for shipping, industry, recreation, 92 and tourism, which can drive economic growth [4, 15]. Marine life in both the sea and 93 bays support commercial fisheries and aquaculture, enhances local tourism with pictur-94 esque harbor views, and may also contain mining and energy resources that contribute 95 substantial income. Furthermore, approximately 17% of the Chinese population resides in 96 bay cities, which constitute only about 4.6% of China's land area [21]. The marine envi-97 ronment and bays play a crucial role in shaping the cultures, customs, and traditions of 98 local communities [22-24]. Additionally, the oceans and coasts offer invaluable ecosystem 99 services, such as carbon dioxide absorption, nutrient cycling, and urban pollution purifi-100 cation [6, 24]. Secondly, urban waste is a primary contributor to pollution in seas and bays 101 [5, 25]. The combination of high population density and robust industrial activities in 102 coastal cities generates large quanities of sewage and industrial wastewater that are often 103 discharged directly into rivers, bays, and oceans. Additionally, inshore aquaculture, mar-104 itime traffic, and oil spills can introduce harmful chemicals into these waters [25, 26]. Fur-105 thermore, tourism can lead to substantial solid waste, such as plastic bags and bottles, 106 accumulating on beaches, which may be washed into the sea by waves, posing a threat to 107 marine life. Moreover, there is an increasing rate of urbanization which is resulting in a 108 greater area of impervious surfaces, such as rooftops and roads [4, 5]. During storms, 109 stormwater can flow over these surfaces, transporting debris, chemicals, sediments, trash, 110 and other pollutants to streams and rivers, ultimately degrading the ecology of our bays 111 and oceans [20]. Thirdly, the ecological degradation of bays and seas significantly impacts 112 the urban economic development of bay cities. The livelihoods of fisheries can be jeopard-113 ized by challenges such as overfishing and poor water quality, including issues such as 114 eutrophication, which can lead to fish mortality. Additionally, compromised ecological 115 environments can result in decreased tourism and may pose health risks to local residents 116 [24, 27]. 117

Since the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) 118 in 1992, there has been an increasing focus among researchers on evaluation methods for 119 sustainable development. Index system evaluation methods typically integrate economic, 120 ecological and social indicators to assess and forecast the level of sustainable development 121 [4, 15, 18]. These methods represent one of the main approaches to evaluating sustainabil-122 ity. There are about 5 framework patterns of the sustainable development index system: 123 the pressure-state-response (PSR) model, economics-based model, three-component or 124 theme model, linked human-ecosystem well-being model, and multiple capital model [4, 125 16, 28, 29]. The three-component or theme model usually includes economy, ecology, and 126 society as the three thematic indicators. It is commonly applied in specific regional sus-127 tainability assessments [4, 29]. 128

However, for seas, bays, and bay cities, only a limited number of studies have devel-129 oped index systems and considered them as a whole system for their analysis. Zhou et al. 130 (2017) propose a novel conceptual index system utilizing systems science, the entropy 131 weight method, a triangular model, and a coupling coordination degree model for as-132 sessing Land Use Management Framework (LUMF), as well as analyzing the relationships 133 among various land use sub-functions [30]. This framework was applied to six cities 134 within the urban agglomeration surrounding Hangzhou Bay (UAHB) in eastern China's 135 Zhejiang Province, employing twenty-two indicators related to production, living, and 136 ecology analysis during the period from 2004 to 2013. Fries et al. (2019) employed an eco-137 system health report card to assess and monitor the ecological status of Guanabara Bay in 138 Brazil [31]. Various measures were implemented to address the significant environmental 139 challenges faced by the bay. The findings indicated that despite numerous interventions, 140 the ecosystem of Guanabara continues to deteriorate due to ongoing urbanization, and 141 poverty in certain areas along the river persists as a source of pollution affecting the bay's 142 ecological restoration efforts. This situation is akin to the results reported by Evans (2018), 143

which outlined that in Australia, the State of the Environment (SoE) framework, along 144 with 123 indicators for coastal ecological remediation, emphasizes the importance of con-145 sidering the cumulative effects of activities across different sectors, including atmosphere, 146 built environment, heritage, biodiversity, land, inland water, coasts, marine environment, 147 and the Antarctic environment, in ecological remediation efforts [32]. Sun et al. (2016) and 148 Sun et al. (2018) utilized the pressure-state-response (PSR) model to evaluate the health 149 levels of wetland ecosystems in the Hangzhou Bay, China [33, 34]. The weights of the 150 indicators and components of the PSR model, as well as the normalized wetland health 151 score, were determined and calculated using the analytic hierarchy process (AHP) 152 method. In the AHP method, expert scoring plays a significant role in forming the weight 153 coefficients, which can introduce a level of subjectivity. To enhance the objectivity of our 154 analysis, this paper employs the principal component analysis (PCA) method. Given the 155 unique geographical combination of sea and land in this region, the assessment of sus-156 tainable development in bay cities is inherently complex, and research in this area contin-157 ues to evolve. 158

This study establishes an analytical framework informed by systems theory and sus-159 tainable development theory to explore the sustainable development of bay cities [35]. 160 Systems theory highlights that the development of bay cities operates as a complex sys-161 tem, incorporating the interactions among economic, ecological, and social factors [36]. 162 Sustainable development theory emphasizes the importance of balancing economic 163 growth, ecological protection, and social well-being, advocating that development must 164 address not only the ecological needs of the bay but also the economic and social require-165 ments of its cities [35, 36]. Practically, systems theory offers a structured approach to ana-166 lyzing the development of bay cities, while sustainable development theory outlines a 167 pathway for achieving equilibrium among economic, ecological, and social dimensions 168 throughout the development process, ultimately offering policy recommendations for 169 sustainable development in bay cities. Drawing on these two theories, a three-component 170 evaluation system for the sustainable development of bay cities has been created. 171

In summary, seas, bays, and cities exist within the same economy-ecology-society 172 system; therefore, addressing only bay remediation will not fulfill the goals of sustainable 173 development. Consequently, this paper employs 39 appropriate indicators to consider the 174 interconnection of seas, bays, and cities as a unified system, aiming to promote the balanced sustainable development of pilot bay cities and to identify key issues that require 176 attention during the implementation of BBRA.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

China is situated in the eastern region of the Eurasian continent, with its eastern 180 coastal area facing the expansive Pacific Ocean. The coastal provinces of the Chinese main-181 land include Liaoning, Hebei, Shandong, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, Shanghai, Guang-182 dong, Hainan, Guangxi, as well as the special administrative regions of Hong Kong and 183 Macao, as shown in Figure 1(a). The four main maritime areas bordering China, from 184 north to south, are the Bohai Sea, Yellow Sea, East China Sea, and South China Sea. Ac-185 cording to the Chinese Marine Economic Statistics Yearbook of 2022, there are a total of 186 53 coastal cities in mainland China, however, due to data limitations, Sansha is not in-187 cluded in this article. As per BBRA, in 2016, 16 bay cities were selected as the initial group 188 of pilots for this policy. These cities, listed from north to south, include Dalian, Panjin, 189 Jinzhou, Qinhuangdao, Yantai, Weihai, Qingdao, Rizhao, Zhoushan, Ningbo, Wenzhou, 190 Fuzhou, Xiamen, Shantou, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang, as shown in Figure 1(b). Of the 191 first 16 pilot bay cities, Dalian, Jinzhou, Panjin, and Qinhuangdao are located in the Bohai 192

178

and Bohai Bay Area; Yantai, Weihai, Qingdao, and Rizhao are part of the Yellow Sea and
the Yellow Sea Bay Area; while Shantou, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang belong to the
South Sea and South Sea Bay Area.



Figure 1. Geographical overview of Chinese mainland coastal provinces, cities and BBRA pilot cities.197Note: The maps are based on the standard map authorized by the Ministry of Natural Resources of198the People's Republic of China (Approval Number: GS (2019) 1823). The map approval number (GS199(2019)1823) can be found at http://bzdt.ch.mnr.gov.cn/ for verification (accessed on 15 November20020224). Note 2: The data regarding the coastal provinces and cities is sourced from the China Marine201Economic Statistics Yearbook (2022). Inset in Figure 1. Distribution of Chinese mainland coastal cit-202203

2.2 Data collection

The data concerning GDP, GDP per capita, the proportion of the tertiary industry, 205 FDI per capita, wholesale and retail trade sales per capita, the number of industrial enter-206 prises above designated size, as well as water and road passenger traffic volume, water 207 and road freight volume, water supply, land area, annual electricity consumption, local 208 general public budget expenditure, green coverage of built-up areas, industrial 209 wastewater discharge, industrial sulfur dioxide emissions, industrial soot emissions, in-210 dustrial nitrogen oxide emissions, comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid 211 wastes, harmless disposal rate of household garbage, centralized treatment rate of sewage 212 treatment plants, urban population density, labor force quantity, average wage of em-213 ployed workers, education expenditure, science and technology spending, the number of 214 buses per ten thousand people, urban road area per capita, public library book collections 215 per ten thousand people, and the number of hospital beds per ten thousand people, are 216 sourced from the Chinese City Statistics Yearbook (2015-2019). The data regarding wharf 217 length, number of 10,000-ton berths, diversity indices of phytoplankton, macro zooplank-218 ton, and benthic organisms, as well as the frequency of red tides (greater than or equal to 219 100 square kilometers), is obtained from the China Marine Economic Statistics Yearbook 220 (2015-2019). The mean indicator of ecological environment quality data is sourced from 221 the Chinese National Earth System Science Data Center (https://www.geodata.cn/main/). 222 Additionally, the Baidu Index for Sustainability, Global Warming, Clean Energy, and 223

204

226

Recycling is available from the Baidu website (<u>http://index.baidu.com</u>). All the data of indicators and references of them can be seen in Table 1. 225

 Table 1 Three-component evaluation indicators of bay cities' sustainable development level

Target Layer	Rule Layer	Index Layer	Unit	Ref.
	Comprehensive economic strength	GDP	Billion yuan (CNY)	[4,10]
	Economic effectiveness	GDP per capita	Yuan (CNY)	[10,37]
	Level of economic development	The third industry proportion	%	[4,10]
	Level of economic openness	FDI per capita	U.S. dollar	[4]
		Wholesale and retail trade sales per capita	Yuan (CNY)	[10]
	Economic prosperity	Number of industrial enterprises above designated size	Piece	[10]
Economic	Maritime economic power	Water and road passenger traffic volume	Million people	[38]
Sustainable		Water and road freight volume	Million ton	[38]
Development		Wharf Length	m	[10]
	Maritime economic potential	Number of 10,000 tons berths	Piece	[10]
		Water supply	Billion m ³	[37,38]
		Land area	Hm ²	[10]
	Basic resources support for eco-	Annual electricity consumption	Million kilowatt-	[15,38]
	nomic development		hours	
		Local general public budget expenditure	Billion yuan (CNY)	[4]
	Economic green construction	Green coverage of built-up area	%	[6]
	Comprehensive ecological level	Mean indicator of ecological environment quality		[39]
	Pollutant discharge	Industrial wastewater discharger	Million ton	[4]
		Industrial Sulphur dioxide emissions	Million ton	[15]
	i oliutalit ülscharge	Industrial soot emissions	Million ton	[15]
Ecological		Industrial nitrogen oxide emissions	Million ton	[15]
Sustainable		Comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid wastes	%	[15]
Development	Environment control	Harmless disposal rate of household garbage	%	[15]
		Centralized treatment rate of sewage treatment plants	%	[15]
		Phytoplankton		[4,15]
	Offshore biodiversity indices	Macro zooplankton		[4,15]
		Benthic organisms		[4,15]
	Marine biological disasters	Times of Red tide (more than 100 square kilometers)	Time	[4,15]
		Urban population density	People/hm ²	[10,37]
	Index of population and labor force	Quantity of labor force	Million people	[10]
		Average wage of employed workers	Yuan (CNY)	[10]
		Education expenditure	Billion yuan	[10]
Social Suc	The lovel of infractructure develop	Science and technology spending	Billion yuan	[10]
tainable De	ment	Urban road area	Hm ²	[6]
velopment	ment	Public collection of books	Thousand pieces	[10]
velopment		Number of beds in hospital	Pieces	[7,10]
		Baidu Index of Sustainability		[4]
	Public awareness of sustainability	Baidu Index of global warming		[4]
	development	Baidu Index of clean energy		[4]
		Baidu Index of recycling		[4]

2.2.1. Economic sustainable development indicators

The sustainable development of the economy in coastal cities is evaluated based on 229 10 dimensions: comprehensive economic strength, economic effectiveness, level of eco-230 nomic development, level of economic openness, economic prosperity, maritime eco-231 nomic power, maritime economic potential, basic resources support for economic devel-232 opment, and economic green construction. Comprehensive economic strength is assessed 233 through GDP, which serves as a synthesized and representative indicator [4,10]. Economic 234 effectiveness is evaluated by GDP per capita, reflecting the economic efficiency of popu-235 lation [10,37]. The level of economic development is measured by the proportion of the 236 tertiary industry, with a higher proportion signifying a more advanced economic devel-237 opment level [1,10]. The degree of economic openness is indicated by FDI per capita, while 238 economic prosperity can be gauged through wholesale and retail trade sales per capita, as 239 well as the number of industrial enterprises of a designated size [4,10]. Given the available 240data, the assessment of maritime economic power is based on the passenger and freight 241 volume of water and road traffic in coastal cities, which can reflect the maritime economic 242 income of these regions [38]. Additionally, wharf length and the number of 10,000-ton 243 berths are considered to gauge maritime economic potential [10]. Basic resources and fi-244 nancial support for economic development are represented by metrics such as water sup-245 ply, land area, annual electricity consumption, and local general public budget expendi-246 ture [10,37,38]. Finally, the green coverage of urban areas is utilized to reflect the degree 247 of greening in urban construction [6]. 248

2.2.2 Ecological sustainable development indicators

The ecological sustainable development of bay cities was evaluated across five di-250mensions: comprehensive ecological level, pollutant discharge, environmental manage-251 ment, offshore biodiversity indices, and marine biological disasters. The comprehensive 252 ecological level is represented by a mean ecological index derived from the average indi-253 cators of ecological environment quality [39]. The metrics chosen to represent pollutant 254discharge in bay cities include the volumes of industrial wastewater, emissions of indus-255 trial sulfur dioxide, emissions of industrial soot, and emissions of industrial nitrogen ox-256 ides [4,15]. The comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid waste, the rate of harm-257less disposal of household waste, and the centralized treatment rate of sewage treatment 258 facilities were utilized to indicate environmental management in bay cities [15]. Offshore 259 biodiversity indices are assessed through the measurement of phytoplankton, macro zo-260 oplankton, and benthic organisms [4,15]. The frequency of red tides (spanning more than 261 100 square kilometers) is used as an indicator of marine biological disasters [4,15]. 262

2.2.3 Social Sustainable development indicators

Social indicators, such as population density and the construction of municipal infra-264 structure in urban areas, can significantly influence bay pollution [24]. The assessment of 265 sustainable social development in bay cities is derived from five dimensions: the popula-266 tion and labor force index, investments in education and science, infrastructure develop-267 ment, and public awareness of sustainability. To represent the population and labor force 268 index, criteria such as urban population density, the size of the labor force, and average 269 wages of employed individuals are utilized [10,37]. The level of infrastructure develop-270ment is indicated by metrics education expenditure, spending on research and technol-271 ogy, urban road area per capita, public library resources per ten thousand residents, and 272 the availability of hospital beds per ten thousand residents [7,10]. Public awareness of 273 sustainability is assessed using the Baidu Index for topics such as sustainability, global 274 warming, clean energy, and recycling [4]. 275

2.3 Methods

228

249

The Principal Component Analysis (PCA) method is employed across various disci-277 plines to evaluate sustainable development [40-44], while the Coupling Coordination De-278 gree Method (CCDM) is primarily used to demonstrate the interactions and influences 279 among two or more systems [45, 46]. This article utilizes PCA to evaluate the sustainable 280 development levels-comprising economic, ecological, and social components-of 16 281 polit cities within the BBRA framework. Furthermore, the Coupling Coordination Degree 282 Model (CCDM) is employed to assess the interdependence of sustainable development 283 across these three domains. The methodological and technological framework supporting 284 this study is presented in Figure 2. 285



Figure 2. Methodological and technological framework.

Based on systems theory and sustainable development theory, this article utilizes data from Chinese City Statistics Yearbook (2015-2019), China Marine Economic Statistics Yearbook (2015-2019), Chinese National Earth System Science Data Center 290 (https://www.geodata.cn/main/) and Baidu website (http://index.baidu.com), establishing 291 a three-component evaluation system with 39 indicators. Through the application of Prin-292 cipal Component Analysis (PCA) and coupling coordination degree methods, the study 293 gets scores and ranks of comprehensive sustainable development level, economy, ecology 294 and society sustainable development level as well as coupling coordination degree among 295 them to assess the sustainable development levels of bay cities from 2015 to 2019 in China. 296

2.3.1 Principal Component Analysis Method

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a statistical technique that reduces the di-298 mensionality of data by transforming the original correlated indicators into a new set of 299 mutually independent comprehensive indicators. This transformation allows for the se-300 lection of principal components that capture the majority of the information contained in 301 the original variables [37,47]. Rather than simply eliminating variables, PCA are derived 302

288 289

297

286

through linear combinations of the indicator variables, enabling a comprehensive evaluation of the research subject while preserving as much original information as possible [47]. 304

There are *n* samples and *p* indicators (variables) x_1 , x_2 ,..., x_p to compose the original 305 data array: 306

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{np} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{X}_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(1) 307

$$x_i = (x_{1i}, x_{2i}, ..., x_{ni})^T$$
, $i = 1, 2, ..., p$ (2) 308

By using *p* indicator vectors of the data matrix *X*, a linear combination is established: 309 $F_i = a_{1i} x_1 + a_{2i} x_2 + \dots + a_{pi} x_p$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (3) 310 The above equation requires: 311

 $a_{1i}^{2} + a_{2i}^{2} + \dots + a_{pi}^{2} = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (4) 312 And the coefficient a_{pi} is determined by the following principles: 313

And the coefficient a_{pi} is determined by the following principles:313(1) F_i is not related to F_j ($i \neq j$, i, j = 1, ..., p);314

(2) F_1 is the linear combinations of $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ with the largest variance; F_2 is the linear 315 combinations of $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ with the largest variance, which is unrelated to F_1 . By analogy, 316 F_p is the linear combinations of $x_1, x_2, ..., x_p$ with the largest variance which is not related 317 to $F_1, F_2, ..., F_{p-1}$.

With n sample units and p indicators, the original data array X can be obtained. To standardize the original data array x_{ij} denote the *j*th indicator of sample *i*:

$$z_{ii} = x_{ii} - \bar{x}_i / s_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., p$$
 (5)

where x_{ij} represents the initial value of the ith sample's jth indicator. The sample mean and sample standard deviation of the jth indicator are denoted by \overline{x}_j and s_j , respectively.

To obtain the correlation coefficient matrix of the indicator data $R = (r_{ij})p \times p, i = 1, 2 \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (6) 326

Where r_{ij} is the correlation coefficient between indicator *i* and indicator j.

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i) / s_i \right] \left[(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j) / s_j \right], i = 1, 2, \dots, p; \ j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$
(7)

Finding the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_p$ of *R* and the corresponding unit eigenvectors, and $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_p \ge 0$.

There,

$\partial_1 =$	$(a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{p1})^{T}$	332
----------------	---------------------------------------	-----

 $\partial_2 = (a_{12}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{p2})^{\mathrm{T}}$ 333 334

$$\partial_n = (\mathbf{a}_{1n}, \mathbf{a}_{2n}, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{nn})^{\mathrm{T}}$$
(8) 335

Writing the principal component and find the principal component score from the normalized raw data array:

$$F_i = a_{1i}x_1 + a_{2i}x_2 + \dots + a_{pi}x_p$$
, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ (9) 338

When solving the actual problem, we generally do not take p principal components.339If the contribution rate of the first k principal components has reached 85%, it means that340the first k principal components basically contain the information of all the measurement341indicators. This method can reduce the number of variables and make it easy to analyze342the actual problems. Because of343

$$Var(F_i) = \lambda_i / \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i$$
(10) 344

And the first principal component contribution rate is $\lambda_1 / \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i$, so

$$\lambda_1 / \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i = \operatorname{Var}(F_1) / \sum_{i=1}^p \operatorname{Var}(F_i)$$
(11) 346

Therefore, the contribution rate of the first principal component is the ratio of the 347 variance of the first principal component to the total variance $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i$. The larger the value, 348 the stronger the ability of the first principal component can contain more information of 349 *x*₁, *x*₂,..., *x*_p. The cumulative contribution rate of first k principal components is defined as 350

330 331

336

337

345

319

320 321

322

323

324

327 328

351

353

359

361

363

376

381

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i / \sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_i \tag{12} 352$$

2.3.2 Coupling Coordination Degree Method

The Coupling Coordination Degree Method (CCDM) can not only evaluate the extent 354 of coupling intensity among systems but also reflect the strength of coordination levels 355 between them. To more effectively assess the degree of interaction or complementarity 356 among the subsystems of sustainable development in bay cities, the degree of coupling 357 coordination is calculated using the following specific formulas: 358

 $C_{j} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{Y_{1j}Y_{2j}Y_{3j}}}{(Y_{1j}+Y_{2j}+Y_{3j})/3}$ (13) 360

$$T_{j} = \alpha Y_{1j} + \beta Y_{2j} + \gamma Y_{3j}$$
(14) 362

$$D_j = \sqrt{C_j T_j} \tag{15} \quad 364$$

In these formulas, C denotes the coupling degree of r systems. Y_1 , Y_2 and Y_3 repre-365 sent the sustainable development of the economy, ecology and society, respectively. The 366 variable *j* refers to different years, and *T* is a composite indicator of system development. 367 Generally, the three systems are considered equally important when their respective con-368 tributions to the overall system's growth are not significantly different, leading to values 369 of α , β and γ being set at 1/3. The value of *D* reflects the degree of coupling coordination, 370 with a higher D indicating a greater degree of coupling coordination. According to the 371 existing literature [48-50], this paper utilizes a wide range of quadratic methods, and cat-372 egorize the stages of coupling coordination into four categories: low coupling coordina-373 tion ($0 < D \le 0.2$), moderate coupling coordination ($0.2 < D \le 0.5$), benign coordinated cou-374 pling $(0.5 < D \le 0.8)$, and coordinated coupling $(0.8 < D \le 1)$. 375

3. Results

3.1. The result of descriptive statistics of the 39 indicators of sustainable development system 377

In order to find out the basic characteristics of the data, descriptive statistics of the 39 378 indicators of sustainable development system are conducted. The results are shown in 379 Table 2. 380

 Table 2 Descriptive statistics of the 39 indicators of sustainable development system

Index	Mean	SD	Kurt	Skew	Min	Max
GDP	492.52	599.45	8.45	2.74	23.17	3815.60
GDP per capita	102764.23	89886.29	8.51	2.60	21200	544300
The third industry proportion	47.64	9.50	1.31	1.04	30.65	79.23
FDI per capita	344.37	509.42	6.64	2.49	0.00	2975.45
Wholesale and retail trade sales per capita	40042.04	29651.95	3.58	1.79	7215.32	173849.01
Number of industrial enterprises above designated size	2282.07	2256.05	1.41	1.37	18	10658
Water and road passenger traffic volume	58.37	99.4	57.21	7.11	4.4	916.08
Water and road freight volume	205.6	209.9	10.17	2.9	2.13	1329.23
Wharf Length	15077.13	18387.77	5.14	2.08	0	95772
Number of 10,000 tons berths	35.82	42.73	2.32	1.58	0	190
Water supply	5.48	5.76	7.26	2.11	0.19	38.43
Land area	816161.54	445809.35	-1.23	0.05	145500	1693100

Annual electricity consumption	2889630.39	3088966.65	3.96	1.96	96335.00	15685775.00
Local general public budget expenditure	75.48	120.93	18.93	4.11	5.7	835.15
Green coverage of built-up area	41.04	4.1	2.8	-0.75	23.24	57.94
Mean indicator of ecological environment quality	0.48	0.11	-1.24	0.26	0.31	0.71
Industrial wastewater discharger	86.7	109.95	28.7	4.28	0.07	965.01
Industrial Sulphur dioxide emissions	1071.95	2204.54	24.08	4.23	0.75	18877.35
Industrial soot emissions	293.84	1240.42	185.56	12.87	0.48	18598.66
Industrial nitrogen oxide emissions	265.52	310.77	11.37	2.95	0	2147.23
Comprehensive utilization rate of industrial solid wastes	87.23	18.04	10.73	-3.04	0	105.8
Harmless disposal rate of household garbage	97.29	10.57	55.83	-6.82	0	100
Centralized treatment rate of sewage treatment plants	86.05	22.08	9.13	-3.1	0	100
Phytoplankton	2.27	0.59	-0.17	0.57	0.9	3.7
Macro zooplankton	2.38	0.69	0.27	0.49	0.9	4
Benthic organisms	1.76	0.84	-0.92	0.02	0.2	3.54
Times of Red tide (more than 100 square kilometers)	0.19	0.66	46.61	5.79	0	7
Urban population density	6.8	4.87	5.82	2.32	1.52	26.34
Quantity of labor force	0.88	1.12	9.85	2.98	0.03	6.82
Average wage of employed workers	70674.89	17977.4	3.31	1.33	35790	160256
Education expenditure	12.21	15.01	13.15	3.37	1.39	99.57
Science and technology spending	3.19	7.99	21.19	4.45	0.01	55.5
Urban road area	3428.99	3793.02	5.75	2.3	261	24473
Public collection of books	6752.45	12413.03	19.72	4.18	110	80150
Number of beds in hospital	24000.98	21218.77	9.41	2.65	2361	136682
Baidu Index of Sustainability	1.61	5.45	50.75	6.56	0	54.92
Baidu Index of global warming	18.73	25.22	5.11	2.33	0	119.37
Baidu Index of clean energy	27.5	33.58	3.17	1.89	0	158.52
Baidu Index of recycling	28.44	33.2	2.63	1.77	0	145.27

These 52 China's bay cities exhibit considerable economic disparities. The average 382 GDP stands at 492.52 billion CNY; however, the high standard deviation of 599.45 billion 383 CNY and the extensive range from 23.17 billion to 3,815.6 billion CNY indicate inequality. 384 The average per capita GDP is 102,764 CNY, yet there are considerable income gaps rang-385 ing from 21,200 to 544,300 CNY. The tertiary sector contributes an average of 47.64% to 386 the economy, but this figure varies significantly from 30.65% to 79.23%, suggesting une-387 ven economic diversification. Additionally, foreign direct investment (FDI) and industrial 388 activity vary greatly among cities, with some attracting substantial FDI and experiencing 389 robust industrial growth, while others lag in these areas. 390

Environmental challenges are a great concern in these 52 bay cities. Industrial emis-391 sions, including sulfur dioxide (86.70 million tons) and particulate matter (1045.06 million 392 tons), vary considerably, resulting in some cities experiencing severe air quality issues. 393 The average wastewater discharge is 0.48 million tons, although certain cities encounter 394 higher levels of discharge. While the utilization of solid waste is generally high at 87.23%, 395 and garbage disposal rates are at 97.29%, there are notable disparities among different 396 regions. The average green coverage is 75.48%, but this figure varies widely between 397 5.70% and 835.15%, indicating inconsistent urban greening initiatives. Additionally, 398 coastal cities are facing challenges related to marine pollution, including incidents of red 399 tide in specific areas. 400

Social indicators illustrate disparities in development in these 52 bay cities. The av-401 erage urban population density is 6.80 persons per hectare; however, this distribution is 402 not uniform across regions. The labor force comprises approximately 0.88 billion individ-403 uals, and income levels average 70,674.89 CNY, revealing significant economic inequality. 404 The allocation of public services, such as education, which receives 12.21 billion CNY, and 405 healthcare, represented by 24,000 hospital beds, is inconsistent, with certain cities experi-406 encing a lack of adequate resources. Public awareness regarding sustainability, clean en-407 ergy, and recycling varies significantly, as indicated by Baidu Index scores, which reflect 408 differing levels of environmental consciousness among the population in different cities. 409

3.2. KMO (Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin) and Bartlett's Test result

Before PCA, KMO and Bartlett's Test is conducted to indicate the suitability of the data in applying PCA, the result is shown in Table 3. 412

Table 3 Results of KMO and Bartlett's Test

Test	Value	
KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy	0.721	
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	P < 0.000	
bartiett's Test of Sphericity	P < 0.000	

The results of the KMO and Bartlett's tests indicate that the data is suitable for PCA. 414 The KMO value of 0.721 suggests that the sampling adequacy is acceptable. The Bartlett's 415 Test of Sphericity is significant (p < 0.001), confirming that the correlation matrix is not an 416 identity matrix and that the variables are sufficiently correlated for factor analysis. 417

3.3. The sustainable development ranking of 52 bay cities of from 2015 to 2019

Based on the 39 indicators outlined above, a principal component model is developed 419 to assess the sustainable development of 52 bay cities, considering economic, ecological, 420 and social indices. The scores and ranks for these cities from 2015 to 2019 are presented in 421 Figure 3. To present these results in a more visual format in geography, ArcGIS is utilized 422 to illustrate the distribution and dynamic changes in the scores of bay cities, as shown in 423 Figure 4. 424



Figure 3. The dynamic changes of the scores and ranks of sustainable development level of the 52426coastal cities. The data used in the figure are the composite scores and ranks derived from the PCA427model. Note: (a) The changes of sustainable development scores of the 52 coastal cities from 2015 to4282019. (b) The changes of sustainable development ranks of the 52 coastal cities from 2015 to 2019.429

418

410

413



Figure 4 The distribution and dynamic changes of the sustainable development scores of coastal431cities from 2015 to 2019. The background image is sourced from the administrative area planning432map in the Environmental and Resource Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences433(https://www.resdc.cn/), and the data of scores are derived from the PCA model.434

As illustrated in Figure 3 (a), the scores for Shanghai, Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Ningbo, 435 Dongguan, Tianjin, Qingdao, Xiamen, Fuzhou, Wenzhou, Quanzhou, Jiaxing, Nantong, 436 Dalian, and Tangshan consistently remained above average from 2015 to 2019. In 2019, 437 the scores for Zhuhai and Taizhou shifted from below average to above average, whereas 438 Yantai and Weifang experienced a decline, moving from above average to below average. 439 Except these cities, the scores of others remained below average from 2015 to 2019. 440

As depicted in Figure 3 (b), the ranks for Shanghai, Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Ningbo, 441 Dongguan, Tianjin, Qingdao, Xiamen, Fuzhou, Dalian, Wenzhou, Quanzhou, Jiaxing, 442 Nantong, Taizhou, Tangshan and Yantai consistently maintained rankings within the top 443 20 from 2015 to 2019. While the ranks for Cangzhou, Zhongshan, Jiangmen, Lianyungang, 444 Dongying, Weihai, Zhanjiang, Shantou, Rizhao, Haikou, Maoming, Ningde, and 445 Zhoushan ranked from 21 to 40. Furthermore, the cities of Dandong, Panjin, Yangjiang, 446 Qinzhou, Danzhou, Shanwei, Fangchenggang and Chaozhou ranked between 41 and 52. 447 Others are experiencing fluctuations across different stages. 448

As demonstrated in Figure 4, the intensity of the color indicates the score level, with 449 deeper colors representing higher scores. When some coastal cities have scores below 0, 450 indicating that their sustainability performance falls below the average level. In 2019, the 451 coastal cities represented in the darkest blue (with scores above 2) include Shanghai, Shen-452 zhen, Guangzhou, Ningbo, Dongguan and Tianjin. The most notable change observed in 453 this figure is the elimination of the score between -3 and -2 (indicated in the lightest blue) 454 in the year 2019. However, from 2015 to 2019, the variations in scores and rankings for the 455 majority of coastal cities were not prominent. Additionally, it is clear that cities with pos-456 itive scores demonstrate a clustering trend. 457

458

3.4 The sustainable development level of the pilot cities of BBRA

As illustrated in Figure 4, among the 16 pilot cities of BBRA, Ningbo was identified 459 as the city with the highest score. The scores for Ningbo, Qingdao, Xiamen, Fuzhou, Da-460 lian, Wenzhou, and Yantai consistently exceed the average, whereas the scores for others 461 tend to fall below the average. The rankings of these 16 pilot cities can be categorized into 462 three distinct stages. Ningbo, Qingdao, Xiamen, Fuzhou, Dalian, Wenzhou, and Yantai 463 consistently rank within the top 20. In contrast, Shantou, Weihai, Zhoushan, Rizhao, and 464 Qinhuangdao occupy positions between 21 and 40, while Jinzhou, Panjin, Shanwei and 465 Fangchenggang are positioned from 41 to 52. By 2019, compared to 2015, the rankings of 466 Ningbo, Qingdao, Fuzhou, Fangchenggang, Wenzhou, Weihai, Shanwei, Xiamen, Shan-467 tou, and Zhoushan have improved. Conversely, Rizhao, Panjin, Yantai, Dalian, Jinzhou, 468 and Qinhuangdao have experienced declines. Notably, Zhoushan has shown the most 469 considerable improvement, advancing 11 places, while Qinhuangdao has encountered the 470 largest decline, dropping 16 places. The rankings of Wenzhou, Weihai, Ningbo, Qingdao, 471 Fuzhou, Fangchenggang, Rizhao, Panjin, and Yantai have remained relatively stable, with 472 fluctuations confined to within 2 rankings. According to the calculation process and 473 results of PCA in SPSS, the contribution of each indicator can be linearly calculated into 474 three principal components: economy, ecology, and society. To investigate the causes of 475 the fluctuations, the scores of the economic, ecological and societal components of these 476 pilot bay cities from 2015 to 2019 were analyzed, with the results presented in Figure 5, 6 477 and 7, respectively. The dynamic changes of the ranks are presented in Figure 8. 478



Figure 5. The dynamic changes of the economy scores of sustainable development level of the 16 480 polit bay cities from 2015 to 2019. The background image is sourced from the administrative area 481 planning map in the Environmental and Resource Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 482 (https://www.resdc.cn/), and the data used for the three dimensions in the diagram are all scores 483 derived from the PAC model. 484



Figure 6. The dynamic changes of the ecology scores of sustainable development level of the 16 polit486bay cities from 2015 to 2019. The background image is sourced from the administrative area plan-487ning map in the Environmental and Resource Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences488(https://www.resdc.cn/), and the data used for the three dimensions in the diagram are all scores489derived from the PAC model.490



Figure 7. The dynamic changes of the society scores of sustainable development level of the 16 polit 492 bay cities from 2015 to 2019. The background image is sourced from the administrative area plan-493 ning map in the Environmental and Resource Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 494 (https://www.resdc.cn/), and the data used for the three dimensions in the diagram are all scores 495 derived from the PAC model. 496



Figure 8. The dynamic changes of the ranks of sustainable development level of the 16 polit bay 498 cities from 2015 to 2019 across three dimensions, which include economy, ecology and society. The 499 data used for the ranks in the diagram are derived from the PAC model. 500

In Figure 5, a score below 0 indicates that the performance is below the average level. 501 Therefore, among the 16 pilot cities, the economic sustainability development level of Pan-502 jin, Jinzhou, Qinhuangdao, Weihai, Rizhao, Zhoushan, Shantou, Shanwei, and Fang-503 chenggang is rated below average. In terms of ecological sustainability in Figure 6, Panjin, 504 Jinzhou, Qinhuangdao, Yantai, Weihai, Zhoushan, Ningbo, and Fangchenggang also fall 505 below the average. Additionally, based on Figure 7, the social sustainability of Panjin, 506 Jinzhou, Qinhuangdao, Yantai, Weihai, Rizhao, Zhoushan, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang 507 is rated below average as well. Among the 16 pilot cities of BBRA, Dalian, Qingdao, Wen-508 zhou, Fuzhou, and Xiamen achieve scores above the average for all three components, 509 while Panjin, Jinzhou, Qinhuangdao, Weihai, Zhoushan, and Fangchenggang are noted 510 to have below-average scores across all three components. From Figure 8, it also can be 511 seen that the levels of sustainable development in the economy, ecology, and society in 512 adjacent sea areas tend to exhibit a degree of consistency. 513

3.5 The coupling coordination degree of the pilot cities of BBRA

The dynamic changes of coupling coordination degree for economy, ecology and so-515 ciety sustainable development of the 16 BBRA pilot cities from 2015 to 2019 are shown in Figure 9. 517

16 of 25

516



Figure 9. The dynamic changes of coupling coordination degree of the 16 polit bay cities from 2015519to 2019 across three dimensions, which include economy, ecology and society. The background im-520age is sourced from the administrative area planning map in the Environmental and Resource Data521Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (https://www.resdc.cn/), and the coupling coordination522degree in the diagram are from the coupling coordination degree model.523

According to Figure 9, from 2015 to 2019, the coupling coordination degree of Panjin, 524 Jinzhou, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang was categorized as low coupling coordination (0 525 < D \leq 0.2). In contrast, the coupling coordination degree of Qinhuangdao, Yantai, Weihai, 526 Rizhao, Wenzhou, Fuzhou, Xiamen, and Shantou were the moderate coupling coordina-527 tion category ($0.2 < D \le 0.5$). During the same period, Ningbo exhibited a benign coordi-528 nated coupling ($0.5 < D \le 0.8$). Dalian experienced a shift from benign coordinated cou-529 pling to moderate coupling coordination in 2019, while Qingdao transitioned to moderate 530 coupling coordination in 2016 and then reverted to benign coordinated coupling in 2017 531 and kept it until 2019. Additionally, Zhoushan's coupling coordination degree fluctuated, 532 displaying low coupling coordination in 2015 and 2017, and moderate coupling coordina-533 tion in 2016, 2018, and 2019. 534

4. Discussion

According to Figure 5,6 and 7, which illustrates the dynamic changes in the rankings 536 of economic, ecological, and societal sustainable development levels of the 16 pilot cities 537 from 2015 to 2019, the reasons for fluctuations in sustainable development levels vary 538 among the cities. By 2019, compared to 2015, Ningbo's improvement in sustainable devel-539 opment can be attributed to advancements in both economic and societal sustainability 540 scores. Wenzhou's progress was primarily due to enhancements in economic sustainabil-541 ity. Qingdao's advancement stemmed from improvements in societal sustainability. The 542 improvements seen in Zhoushan, Weihai, and Fangchenggang resulted from gains in both 543 economic and ecological sustainability. Shanwei's enhancement was linked to advance-544 ments in ecological and societal sustainability scores. Fuzhou, Xiamen, and Shantou 545

518

experienced comprehensive improvements across economic, ecological, and societal sustainability. In contrast, Dalian, Qinhuangdao, and Yantai have faced declines due to simultaneous decreases in economic, ecological, and societal sustainability scores. Jinzhou and Panjin both experienced declines primarily attributable to reductions in societal sustainability scores, while Rizhao's decline was due to reductions in both economic and societal sustainability scores. 551

The integrated development of the economic, ecological, and social aspects of the bay 552 city is essential for the sustainable advancement of our coastal, bay, and ocean. According 553 to Figure 10, which illustrates the rankings of economic, ecological, and societal sustaina-554 ble development levels of the 16 pilot cities of 2019, the reasons obstacle coordinated de-555 velopment of 16 polit bay cities vary. The coupling coordination degree of Shantou and 556 Shanwei was mainly influenced by relatively poor economy development. The coupling 557 coordination degree of Dalian, Qinhuangdao, Yantai, Weihai, Qingdao, Zhoushan, 558 Ningbo, Wenzhou, Fuzhou, was mainly influenced by backward ecology development. 559 The coupling coordination degree of Panjin, Jinzhou, Rizhao, Xiamen and Fangchenggang 560 was mainly influenced by relatively backward social development. 561



Figure 10. The radar map of ranks of the 16 polit bay cities of 2019 across three dimensions, which563include economy, ecology and society. The data used for the ranks in the diagram are derived from564the PAC model.565

Among the 16 pilot cities of BBRA, Dalian has the highest ranking in the societal 566 component, whereas its lowest ranking is in the ecological category. This trend is similarly 567 observed in Qinhuangdao, Qingdao, Wenzhou, and Fuzhou. Conversely, for Panjin, Jin-568 zhou, Rizhao, and Xiamen, the highest ranking is in the ecological component, while the 569 societal component ranks the lowest. In Yantai, Weihai, Zhoushan, and Ningbo, the eco-570 nomic component holds the highest ranking, with the ecological component being the 571 lowest. In Shantou, the societal component ranks the highest, whereas the economic com-572 ponent ranks the lowest. For Shanwei, the ecological component ranks highest, while the 573 economic component ranks lowest. For Fangchenggang, all three components are ranked 574 lower than the others. 575

Dalian, Jinzhou, and Panjin are all part of Liaoning Province. Unlike Jinzhou and Panjin, Dalian is situated at the convergence of the Bohai Sea and the Yellow Sea, exhibiting relatively higher scores in the economic, ecological, and social components. Dalian boasts robust economic growth and strong social infrastructure [51]. However, its primary development challenge lies in ecological concerns. To achieve balanced development, Dalian should focus more on sustainable ecological practices, particularly addressing issues related to ecological integrity and pollution emissions [52-54].

Qinhuangdao is located in Hebei Province. Along with Jinzhou and Panjin, 583 Qinhuangdao is part of the Bohai and Bohai Bay Area. These three coastal cities share 584 similar sustainable development challenges, as their economic, ecological, and social sus-585 tainability levels are all below the national average. In these three cities, the industrial 586 structure is unreasonable, the pollutants that are emitted into the sea triggers environment 587 problems such as red tide disasters as well as employment and medical care also restrict 588 the sustainable development of their society [55-58]. The inefficient industrial framework 589 results in a reliance on resource consumption that is neither sustainable nor beneficial, 590 and it contributes to ongoing ecological degradation. There is a large number of land-591 based pollutants entering the sea, which has led to an increase in red tide incidents and a 592 decline in coastal biodiversity. Furthermore, with the rapid pace of urbanization, these 593 cities are grappling with social challenges, including population migration, employment, 594 education, and healthcare access. 595

Yantai, Weihai, Qingdao, and Rizhao are all situated in the Yellow Sea and the Yellow 596 Sea Bay Area, and they are part of Shandong Province. Among these cities, Qingdao 597 demonstrates relatively higher performance in economic, ecological, and social domains. 598 As a significant port city, Qingdao benefits from a robust industrial base and abundant 599 tourism resources, which have catalyzed its sustainable economic development [59, 60]. 600 Following the BBRA, Qingdao has initiated a series of ecological protection and ecological 601 restoration projects, yielding remarkable outcomes in reducing pollutant emissions and 602 safeguarding the ecological environment [59]. Qingdao also boasts a well-developed pub-603 lic service system, having made substantial improvements in education, healthcare, and 604 other public services through enhanced infrastructure development [60,61]. In contrast, 605 Yantai and Weihai exhibit below-average levels of ecological sustainable development, 606 primarily due to higher pollution emissions and lower ecological quality [61]. Yantai's has 607 subpar social sustainable development is largely attributed to a labour force shortage and 608 limited public awareness regarding sustainable practices. For both Rizhao and Weihai, 609 the low levels of social sustainable development stem not only from labour force shortages 610 and inadequate public awareness but also from deficiencies in education, healthcare, and 611 infrastructure. Rizhao's economic development is hindered by a lack of strength, 612 effectiveness, and openness in its economic initiatives, while Weihai's lower economic performance is mainly due to a scarcity of developmental resources. 613

Zhoushan, Ningbo, Wenzhou, Fuzhou, and Xiamen are located in the East Sea and 615 Bay Area of China. Zhoushan, Ningbo, and Wenzhou are part of Zhejiang Province, while 616 Fuzhou and Xiamen belong to Fujian Province. Zhoushan is an archipelago, and its levels 617 of economic, ecological, and social sustainable development are all below the average. The 618 lower economic development level in Zhoushan is primarily due to a shortage of devel-619 opment resources. Key factors contributing to the lower level of ecological sustainable 620 development include a significant number of land-based pollutants, reduced ecological 621 quality, diminished coastal biological diversity, and an increased number of high tides. 622 Furthermore, Zhoushan faces social challenges such as inadequate technological support, 623 education, healthcare, and public awareness of sustainable development, all of which hin-624 der its social sustainable development progress. In contrast, for Ningbo, Wenzhou, Fu-625 zhou, and Xiamen, all components are above the average level, except for the ecological 626 component of Ningbo, which is affected by a large number of land-based pollutants and 627 a higher number of high tides. 628

Shantou, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang are situated in the South China Sea and Bay 629 Area. Shantou and Shanwei are part of Guangdong Province, while Fangchenggang is 630 located in Guangxi Province. The relatively lower level of economic development in Shan-631 tou, Shanwei, and Fangchenggang can be attributed to various factors, including weaker 632 economic strength, efficiency, and openness, as well as a lack of development resources. 633 In the cases of Shanwei and Fangchenggang, limited social sustainable development is 634 primarily due to a shortage of labor force, public awareness, and deficiencies in education, 635 healthcare, and infrastructure. Additionally, Fangchenggang faces ecological sustainabil-636 ity challenges, primarily due to pollution emissions, particularly the low sewage treat-637 ment rate. 638

Chinese bay cities are currently experiencing fast paced industrialization and urban-639 ization, primarily driven by sectors such as manufacturing, trade, and port logistics. These 640 cities benefit from advantageous geographic locations, a considerable labor force, and ro-641 bust policy support. However, they also encounter challenges including environmental 642 pollution, limited resources, and disparities in social development. Dalian, Qingdao, and 643 Xiamen have accomplished relatively high ranking and balanced sustainable develop-644 ment after BBRA, largely attributable to their strategic development policies, well-defined 645 planning initiatives, and effective urban management practices [4, 6, 53]. These cities have 646 established clear objectives and measures for marine environmental protection through 647 the utilization of national special funds of BBRA and local dedicated plans, including Da-648 lian's "Marine Ecological Environment Protection Regulations," Qingdao's Jiaozhou Bay 649 Management Special Plan, and Xiamen's Yuandang Lake Comprehensive Remediation 650 Plan [16, 54, 60]. Furthermore, they emphasize the integration of ecological restoration 651 with economic development, fostering green industries such as marine ranch construction 652 and ecotourism [4, 16, 54]. This approach facilitates a mutually beneficial relationship be-653 tween ecological conservation and economic advancement. Additionally, the adoption of 654 technological innovations and ecological compensation mechanisms has enhanced gov-655 ernance efficiency and increased social engagement [60]. The collective impact of these 656 policies, strategies, and initiatives has established a robust foundation for the sustainable 657 development of these cities. 658

In comparison, global bay cities like San Francisco, New York, and Tokyo have progressed into post-industrial economies characterized by finance, technology, and highend services [62,63]. These cities are recognized for their innovation, sustainability, and overall quality of life, yet they face challenges such as high living costs, restricted space for expansion, and social inequalities. 663

As of now, BBRA has been in effect for eight years. During this period, various pilot 664 cities have implemented a series of rectification measures, including the renovation and 665 repair of coastal areas, the protection of natural shorelines, the planting and restoration of 666 coastal wetland vegetation, pollution control, the enhancement of bay water quality, ma-667 rine ecological monitoring, and the promotion of sustainable development within the ma-668 rine economy [17, 30, 61, 62]. However, after eight years, the development levels among 669 the 16 pilot cities vary significantly. The sustainable development of these bay cities has 670 been constrained by various factors. 671

In the future, Chinese bay cities should prioritize economic diversification by transi-672 tioning from heavy industry to high-tech and service-oriented sectors. Investment in in-673 novation and the establishment of robust research and development ecosystems, along 674 with the cultivation of global partnerships to attract talent and technology, are essential. 675 Moreover, sustainable development initiatives should be emphasized through the imple-676 mentation of stricter environmental regulations, advancements in green technologies, and 677 enhanced waste management practices to tackle pollution and resource limitations. En-678 hancing infrastructure, promoting social equity, and fostering global integration should 679 be prime objectives for Chinese bay cities. Key areas of focus include upgrading transpor-680 tation and digital networks, addressing income inequality, and improving access to edu-681 cation and healthcare. By positioning themselves as global hubs for business and innova-682 tion, these cities can achieve balanced and high-quality growth while drawing lessons 683 from the successes and challenges of leading bay cities around the world. In the future, 684 local governments should address these shortcomings to achieve sustainable develop-685 ment. 686

5. Conclusions

It is vital to recognize that bay area's function as integrated ecosystems, by extracting 688 insights from the successes and challenges of leading bay cities globally, it is evident that 689 addressing issues solely within the bays will not fully resolve the ecological challenges. 690 Following the implementation of the BBRA, the sustainable development levels of 16 691 coastal cities have not changed very much and certain cities continue to face challenges 692 related to uneven development of economy, ecology and society. In particular, Ningbo 693 requires enhancements in its ecological sustainable development level, while Yantai needs 694 to focus on improvements in both ecological and social sustainability. Rizhao and Shan-695 wei should work on strengthening their economic and ecological sustainable development levels. Additionally, Shantou must enhance its ecological sustainability. The situations in Panjin, Jinzhou, Qinghuangdao, Weihai, Zhoushan, and Fangchenggang are more 698 concerning, as their sustainable development levels across economic, ecological, and so-699 cietal dimensions are below average. To promote balanced sustainable development 700 among these bay cities, governments should consider the unique developmental charac-701 teristics (shortcomings of economy, ecology or society) of each city during the implemen-702 tation of the BBRA. 703

Furthermore, in future research, it is meaningful to explore the dynamic changes in 704 sustainable development level within bay cities, particularly in light of the ongoing im-705 plementation of the BBRA. It is essential to investigate the factors that contribute to sig-706 nificant changes over time and across different regions. In this regard, the SPM-DID 707 method [64, 65] for evaluating policy impacts may be an appropriate approach. 708

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.M. and J.F.; methodology, J.F.; software, L.K; valida-710 tion, L.M. and X.C.; investigation, J.F. and H.L.; writing-original draft preparation, J.F.; writing-711 review and editing, N.C. and H.L.; funding acquisition, J.F. and L.M. All authors have read and 712 713 agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

687

696 697

759

	Funding: This research was funded by the National Forestry and Grassland Administration For- estry Soft Science Project (2022131016), the Central Public Research Institutes Fundamental Research Funds for the Project of Chinese Academy of Forestry (grant number CAFYBB2023MC008), the min- istry of Education Humanities and Social Sciences Project: Coupling Mechanism of Cultural Revi- talization of Traditional Villages and High-Quality Development of Rural Tourism in the Yellow River Basin (24YJC790005), and the Qingdao Agricultural University High-level Talent Research Fund Project (663-1120703).	 714 715 716 717 718 719 720
	Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.	721
	Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.	722 723
	Acknowledgments: We are thankful to the National Forestry and Grassland Administration For- estry Soft Science Project (2022131016), the Central Public Research Institutes Fundamental Research Funds for the Project of Chinese Academy of Forestry (grant number CAFYBB2023MC008), the min- istry of Education Humanities and Social Sciences Project: Coupling Mechanism of Cultural Revi- talization of Traditional Villages and High-Quality Development of Rural Tourism in the Yellow River Basin (24YJC790005), and the Qingdao Agricultural University High-level Talent Research Fund Project (663-1120703). We are also very grateful for the valuable suggestions provided by the editor and reviewers.	724 725 726 727 728 729 730 731
	Conflicts of Interest: There are no potential commercial or financial conflicts of interest. If any- commercial conflicts of interest exist, they have been disclosed according to the relevant guidelines.	732 733
Re	ferences	734
1.	Costa, C.M.; Santos, A.T. Building multiscalar sustainable ocean governance: How do global perspectives interact with the Portuguese national approach? <i>Heliyon</i> 2024, <i>10</i> (7), e28233. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e28233.	735 736
2.	Fasoulis, I. Governing the oceans: A study into Norway's ocean governance regime in the wake of United Nations Sustainable	737 738
3.	Mao, Z.; Zhang, Z. Taking the "UN Decade of Ocean Science for Sustainable Development" as an opportunity to help build a "Community with a Shared Future between China and Pacific Island Countries". <i>Mar. Policy</i> 2024, <i>159</i> , 105943. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2023.105943.	739 740 741
4.	Wan, L.; Wang, X.H.; Wu, W. Assessment of coastal eco-environmental sustainable development under multiple pressures: A	742
5.	case study of Jaozhou Bay, China. J. Environ. Manag. 2024, 363, 121230. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121230. Chen, C.; Yao, Z.; Wen, Z.; Sheng, N. Impact of city characteristics on its phosphorus metabolism in the bay area: A comparative	743 744
	analysis of cities in the Greater Bay Area of China. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 286, 124925. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124925.	745
6.	Chen, P.; Chen, H.; Chen, K.; Ke, H.; Cai, M. Quantitative assessment of the response of seawater environmental quality to marine protection policies under regional economic development—A case study of Xiamen Bay, China. <i>Mar. Environ. Res.</i> 2023, <i>186</i> , 105934. DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2023.105934.	746 747 748
7.	Li, Q.; Wu, J.; Su, Y.; Zhang, C.; Wu, X.; Wen, X.; Huang, G.; Deng, Y.; Lafortezza, R.; Chen, X. Estimating ecological sustainability in the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area, China: Retrospective analysis and prospective trajectories. <i>J. Environ. Manag.</i> 2022, 303, 114167. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenyman.2021.114167.	749 750 751
8.	Scott, M. The San Francisco Bay Area: A Metropolis in Perspective. <i>Univ. Calif. Press</i> 1985, <i>Berkeley, CA</i> , 1–384. DOI: 10.1525/9780520323933	752
9.	Walker, R.; Schafran, A. The strange case of the Bay Area. <i>Environ. Plan. A</i> 2015, 47, 10–29. DOI: 10.1068/a.46277.	754
10.	Tian, Z.; Zhou, B. Sustainable future: A systematic review of city-region development in bay areas. <i>Front. Sustain. Cities</i> 2023, <i>5</i> , 1052568. DOI: 10.3389/frsc.2023.1052568.	755 756
11.	Toz, A.C.; Koseoglu, B.; Sakar, C. Numerical modelling of oil spill in New York Bay. <i>Arch. Environ. Prot.</i> 2016, 42(4), 19–32. DOI: 10.1515/aep-2016-0037.	757 758

Rose, S. Tokyo: Globalization and the postmodern experience. J. Glob. Media Stud. 2017, 21, 33–39. 12.

- Zhang, X.; Ramos, B.A.; Cladera, J.R. Research on key influencing factors of ecological environment quality in Barcelona Metropolitan Region based on remote sensing. *Remote Sens.* 2024, *16*(24), 4735.
 761
- Couto, E.D.A.; Gregorio, L.T.D.; Valle, G.; Haddad, A.N.; Soares, C.A.P. ISO 37120 sustainable development indicators: Rio de Janeiro and the Latin American scenario. *Ambiente Soc.* 2023, 26, e01322.
 763
- Wan, L.; Wang, X.H.; Gao, G.D.; Wu, W. Evaluation of the coordinated development level in the coastal eco-environmental complex system: A case study of Jiaozhou Bay, China. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 2024, *198*, 106515. DOI: 10.1016/j.maren- 765 vres.2024.106515.
- Qian, L.; Wang, F.; Cao, W.; Ding, S.; Cao, W. Ecological health assessment and sustainability prediction in coastal area: A case study in Xiamen Bay, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 2023, *148*, 110047. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110047.
- Wang, M.; Wang, X.H. Introduction to the national blue bay remediation action plan in China. *Aust. J. Marit. Ocean Aff.* 2018, 769 10(4), 256–262. DOI: 10.1080/18366503.2018.1534522.
- Guo, T.; Fang, Q.; Jiang, X.; Zacarias, W.B.M.; Ioris, A.A.R. Evaluation of China's marine sustainable development based on PSR and SDG14: Synergy-tradeoff analysis and scenario simulation. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 2025, *111*, 107753. DOI: 10.1016/j.eiar.2024.107753.
- Hua, T.; He, L.; Jiang, Q.; Chou, L.-M.; Xu, Z.; Yao, Y.; Ye, G. Spatio-temporal coupling analysis and tipping points detection of China's coastal integrated land-human activity-ocean system. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2024, 914, 169981. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.169981.
- Wang, W.; Huo, Y.; Lin, C.; Lian, Z.; Wang, L.; Liu, Y.; Sun, X.; Chen, J.; Lin, H. Occurrence, accumulation, ecological risk, and source identification of potentially toxic elements in multimedia in a subtropical bay, Southeast China. J. Hazard. Mater. 2024, 476, 135110. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.135110.
- Shepard, W. Ghost cities of China: The story of cities without people in the world's most populated country; *Bloomsbury Publishing*, 2015; pp. 15–19.
 780
- Delgado, L.E.; Sandoval, C.; Quintanilla, P.; Quiñones-Guerrero, D.; Marín, I.A.; Marín, V.H. Including traditional knowledge in coastal policymaking: Yaldad bay (Chiloé, southern Chile) as a case study. *Mar. Policy* 2022, *143*, 105181. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105181.
- Sinfuego, K.S.; Buot, I.E. Mangrove zonation and utilization by the local people in Ajuy and Pedada Bays, Panay Island, Philippines. *J. Mar. Isl. Cult.* 2014, 3(1), 1–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.imic.2013.11.002.
- Piwowarczyk, J.; Wróbel, B. Determinants of legitimate governance of marine Natura 2000 sites in a post-transition European Union country: A case study of Puck Bay, Poland. *Mar. Policy* 2016, *71*, 310–317. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2016.01.019.
 788
- Qin, X.; Sun, C.; Zou, W. Quantitative models for assessing the human-ocean system's sustainable development in coastal cities: 789 The perspective of metabolic-recycling in the Bohai Sea Ring Area, China. Ocean Coast. Manag. 2015, 107, 46–58. DOI: 790 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.02.003. 791
- Yao, Y.; Zhang, S.; Gao, S.; Lu, J.; Fu, G. Release capacity of Portunus trituberculatus enhancement in coastal waters: A case study in the marine ranching area of Haizhou bay. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 2024, 299, 108684. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2024.108684.
- Zhong, J.; Wu, X.; Wu, S.; Wang, Y.; Peng, S. Regional patterns and factors analysis of the sustainable development of benefits in China's national-level marine ranching: Based on shellfish and algae. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2024, 467, 142994. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcle-pro.2024.142994.
 796
- 28. Bank, W. Monitoring environmental progress: A report on work in progress; The World Bank, 1995.
- 29. Guijt, I.; Moiseev, A. Resource kit for sustainability assessment. *IUCN*, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK, 2001.
- Zhou, D.; Xu, J.C.; Lin, Z.L. Conflict or coordination? Assessing land use multi-functionalization using production-living-ecology analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 577, 136–147. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.143.
 800
- Fries, A.S.; Coimbra, J.P.; Nemazie, D.A.; Summers, R.M.; Azevedo, J.P.S.; Filoso, S.; Newton, M.; Gelli, G.; de Oliveira, R.C.;
 Pessoa, M.A.R.; et al. Guanabara Bay ecosystem health report card: Science, management, and governance implications. *Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci.* 2019, 25, 100474. DOI: 10.1016/j.rsma.2018.100474.
- Evans, K.; Bax, N.J.; Smith, D.C. Enhancing the robustness of a national assessment of the marine environment. *Mar. Policy* 2018, 804 98, 133–145. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpol.2018.08.011.
- 33. Sun, T.; Lin, W.; Chen, G.; Guo, P.; Zeng, Y. Wetland ecosystem health assessment through integrating remote sensing and inventory data with an assessment model for the Hangzhou Bay, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, *566*, 627–640. DOI: 10.1016/j.sci. 807 totenv.2016.05.028.
- Sun, B.; Lei, Y.; Cui, L.; Li, W.; Kang, X.; Zhang, M. Addressing the modelling precision in evaluating the ecosystem services of coastal wetlands. *Sustainability* 2018, *10*(4), 1136. DOI: 10.3390/su10041136.
 810

797

35.	Shi, L.; Han, L.; Yang, F.; Gao, L. The evolution of sustainable development theory: Types, goals, and research prospects. <i>Sustainability</i> 2019 , <i>11</i> , 7158. DOI: 10.3390/su11247158.	811 812
36.	Ma, L.; Wang, Q.; Zhu, Y.; Liu, Z. The impact and mechanism of new-type urbanization on high-quality forestry development:	813
	A case study of the Yellow River Basin in China. Diversity 2025, 17, 7.DOI: 10.3390/d17010007.	814
37.	Chen, J.; Tang, X. Towards sustainable cities: Studying evaluation index of water environment carrying capacity. <i>Water Resour.</i>	815
	Manage. 2023, 37, 5919–5938. DOI: 10.1007/s11269-023-03635-5.	816
38.	Santos, T.; de Assis Cabral, J.; dos Santos Lima, P. V.; de Andrade Santos, M. Rio de Janeiro's ocean economy as a key vector for	817
	sustainable development in Brazil. Marine Policy 2024, 159, 105876. 10.1016/j.marpol.2023.105876.	818
39.	Xu, D.; Yang, F.; Yu, L.; et al. Quantification of the coupling mechanism between eco-environmental quality and urbanization	819
	from multisource remote sensing data. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 128948. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128948.	820
40.	Lamichhane, S.; Eğilmez, G.; Gedik, R.; Bhutta, M.K.S.; Erenay, B. Benchmarking OECD countries' sustainable development	821
	performance: A goal-specific principal component analysis approach. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 287, 125040. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcle-	822
	pro.2020.125040.	823
41.	Tan, F.; Lu, Z. Assessing regional sustainable development through an integration of nonlinear principal component analysis	824
	and Gram Schmidt orthogonalization. <i>Ecol. Indic.</i> 2016, 63, 71–81. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.11.018.	825
42.	Palit, T.; Bari, A.B.M.M.; Karmaker, C.L. An integrated principal component analysis and interpretive structural modeling ap-	826
	proach for electric vehicle adoption decisions in sustainable transportation systems. <i>Decis. Anal. J.</i> 2022, <i>4</i> , 100119. DOI:	827
	10.1016/j.dajour.2022.100119.	828
43.	Martins, M.S.; Kalil, R.M.L.; Rosa, F.D. Sustainable neighbourhoods: Applicable indicators through principal component anal-	829
	vsis. Proc. Inst. Civ. Eng Urban Des. Plan. 2021, 174(1), 25–36. DOI: 10.1680/jurdp.20.00058.	830
44.	Wang, C.; Wang, L.; Zhai, J.; Feng, T.; Lei, Y.; Li, S.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Hu, Z.; Zhu, K.; et al. Assessing progress toward China's	831
	subnational sustainable development by Region Sustainable Development Index. Sustain. Horiz. 2024. 11, 100099. DOI:	832
	10.1016/i.horiz.2024.100099.	833
45.	Zheng, Y.; Wu, J.; Du, S.; Sun, W.; He, L. Unrevealing the coupling coordination degree between atmospheric CO2 concentration	834
	and human activities from geospatial and temporal perspectives. <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 2024, 942, 173691. DOI: 10.1016/i.sci-	835
	totenv.2024.173691.	836
46.	Yuan, D.; Du, M.; Yan, C.; Wang, I.; Wang, C.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, H.; Kou, Y. Coupling coordination degree analysis and spatio-	837
	temporal heterogeneity between water ecosystem service value and water system in Yellow River Basin cities. <i>Ecol. Inform</i> , 2024.	838
	79, 102440. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoinf.2023.102440.	839
47.	Salem, N.; Hussein, S. Data dimensional reduction and principal components analysis. <i>Procedia Comput. Sci.</i> 2019 , 163, 292–299.	840
	DOI:10.1016/j.procs.2019.12.111.	841
48.	Han, X.; Wang, Y.; Yu, W.; Xia, X. Coupling and coordination between green finance and agricultural green development:	842
	Evidence from China. <i>Financ. Res. Lett.</i> 2023, <i>58</i> , 104221. DOI: 10.1016/j.frl.2023.104221.	843
49.	Hu, Z.; Kumar, J.; Oin, O.; Kannan, S. Assessing the coupling coordination degree between all-for-one tourism and ecological	844
	civilization: Case of Guizhou, China. <i>Environ. Sustain. Indic.</i> 2023, 19, 100272. DOI: 10.1016/j.indic.2023.100272.	845
50.	Li, Y.; Zhou, B. Coupling coordination degree measurement and spatial characteristics analysis of green finance and technolog-	846
	ical innovation - Empirical analysis based on China. <i>Heliyon</i> 2024, 10(13), e33486. DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e33486.	847
51.	Liu, J.; Cheng, Z.; Yi, P.; Li, W.; Wang, L. Predictive evaluation of city sustainability based on benchmarking method: A case	848
	study of 34 cities in northeastern China. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2024, 112, 105627. DOI: 10.1016/j.scs.2024.105627.	849
52.	Han, G.; He, M.; Du, Z.; Wei, N.; Luo, H. Characterization and source apportionment of water-soluble ion pollution in PM10 of	850
	typical cities in northern China. <i>iScience</i> 2024, 27(10), 110891. DOI: 10.1016/j.isci.2024.110891.	851
53.	Zhang, X.; Li, X.; Liu, H.; Song, Y.; Gao, M. Research on urban thermal environment differentiation based on functional zones:	852
	Take four districts of Dalian as an example. <i>Urban Clim.</i> 2024, <i>58</i> , 102152. DOI: 10.1016/i.uclim.2024.102152.	853
54.	Lyu, L.; Sho, K.; Zhao, H.; Song, Y.; Uchiyama, Y.; Kim, J.; Sakai, T. Construction, assessment, and protection of green infra-	854
	structure networks from a dynamic perspective: A case study of Dalian City, Liaoning Province, China. Urban For. Urban Green.	855
	2024, 101, 128545. DOI: 10.1016/j.ufug.2024.128545.	856
55.	Gu, R.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Jian, S.; Tu, J.; He, S.; Sun, J. PSR-FCCLP model based total maximum allocated loads optimization of TN	857
	and TP in Bohai Bay. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 2022, 185, 114249. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114249.	858
56.	Zhang, L.; Li, G.; Ding, D.; Qiao, L.; Wang, J.; Li, M.; Xing, L.; Liu, S.; Sun, J.; Liu, M. Coastline eco-efficiency and sustainable	859
	development of Bohai Rim cities. Ocean Coast. Manag. 2023, 243, 106769. DOI: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106769.	860

57.	Zhang, T.; Niu, X. Analysis on the utilization and carrying capacity of coastal tidal flat in bays around the Bohai Sea. Ocean	861
	Coast. Manag. 2021, 203, 105449. DOI: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105449.	862
58.	Wu, J.; Li, B.; Zhang, L.; Qu, Y. Spatio-temporal evolution and adaptive cycle of marine economy resilience in Bohai Rim Region.	863
	<i>Reg. Stud. Mar. Sci.</i> 2024, 80, 103893. DOI: 10.1016/j.rsma.2024.103893.	864
59.	Fang, X.; Shi, X.; Phillips, T.K.; Du, P.; Gao, W. The Coupling Coordinated Development of Urban Environment Towards Sus-	865
	tainable Urbanization: An Empirical Study of Shandong Peninsula, China. Ecol. Indic. 2021, 129, 107864. DOI:	866
	10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107864.	867
60.	Ge, Y.; Jia, W.; Zhao, H.; Xiang, P. A framework for urban resilience measurement and enhancement strategies: A case study in	868
	Qingdao, China. J. Environ. Manag. 2024, 367, 122047. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122047.	869
61.	Wang, M.; Wang, X.H.; Zhou, R.; Zhang, Z. An indicator framework to evaluate the Blue Bay Remediation Project in China. Reg.	870
	Stud. Mar. Sci. 2020, 38, 101349. DOI: 10.1016/j.rsma.2020.101349.	871
62.	Pinto, P. J.; Kondolf, G. M.; Wong, P. L. R. Adapting to sea level rise: Emerging governance issues in the San Francisco Bay	872
	Region. Environmental Science & Policy 2018, 90, 28-37. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.09.015.	873
63.	Zhang, Q.; Guan, Y.; Wu, X.; Zhang, J.; Li, R.; Lin, K.; Wang, Y. Revealing the dynamic effects of land cover change on land	874
	surface temperature in global major bay areas. Building and Environment 2025, 267, 112266. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.build-	875
	<u>env.2024.112266</u> .	876
64.	Luo, C.; Qiang, W.; Lee, H. F. Does the low-carbon city pilot policy work in China? A company-level analysis based on the PSM-	877
	DID model. J. Environ. Manage. 2023, 337, 117725. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.117725.	878
65.	Duan, Z.; Lee, S.; Lee, G. Evaluation of the effect of a low-carbon green city policy on carbon abatement in South Korea: A city-	879
	level analysis based on PSM-DID and LSA models. Ecol. Indic. 2024, 158, 111369. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.111369.	880
Disc	laimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual au-	881

thor(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 883